Exercise 1. Determine which of the following are statements. If not, explain why not. If so, determine the truth value of the statement.

- (a) Calvin Coolidge was the greatest American President.
- (b) The square root of a rational number is always a rational number.

(c)
$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$$
.

- (d) Mixing yellow and red paint will give you orange paint.
- (e) Life is like a box of chocolates.
- (f) When will the Red Sox win the World Series?
- (g) This sentence is false.
- (h) A group of owls is called a parliament.
- $(i) \ \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$
- (j) Every former President of the United States is buried in the United States.
- (k) Everyone has a cat.

Solution.

- (a) This is not a statement, since it is an opinion.
- (b) This is a false statement. (2 is rational, but $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.)
- (c) This is a true statement. (We'll prove this later.)
- (d) This is a true statement.
- (e) This is not a statement, but an opinion.
- (f) This is not a statement, it is a question.
- (g) This is not a statement because the truth value is indeterminant (it's a paradox)!
- (h) This is a true statement.
- (i) This is not a statement because no claim is being made.
- (j) This is a false statement as living former presidents are not buried.
- (k) This is a false statement.

Exercise 2. Write the negation of each of the following statements, without just putting the phrase "It is not the case that..." in front of the given phrase.

- (a) Pi is a positive real number.
- $(b) \ \ Georgia \ is \ the \ eleventh \ largest \ state.$
- (c) Flatland State University has no major in paleontology.

- (d) 3 + 1 < 4.
- (e) 3 is a factor of 7.

$$(f) \ 1 + 2 + 3 = \frac{3(3+1)}{2}.$$

- (g) Sam is an orange belt and Kate is a red belt.
- (h) The train is late or my watch is fast.

Solution.

- (a) Pi is not a positive real number.
- (b) Georgia is not the eleventh largest state.
- (c) Flatland State University has a major in paleontology.
- $(d) 3 + 1 \ge 4.$
- (e) 3 is not a factor of 7.

(f)
$$1+2+3 \neq \frac{3(3+1)}{2}$$
.

- (g) Sam is not an orange belt or Kate is not a red belt.
- (h) The train is not late and my watch is not fast.

Exercise 3. Write a truth table for the statement

$$\neg p \land q$$
.

Solution.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} p & q & \neg p & \neg p \wedge q \\ \hline T & T & F & F \\ T & F & F & F \\ F & T & T & T \\ F & F & T & F \\ \end{array}$$

Exercise 4. Write a truth table for the statement

$$p \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$$

Solution.

p	q	$\mid r \mid$	$\neg q$	$\neg q \lor r$	$p \land (\neg q \lor r)$
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	F
F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	$\mid T \mid$	$\mid T \mid$	T	F
F	F	F	$\mid T \mid$	T	F

Exercise 5. Determine whether the given pair is logically equivalent. Justify your answer using truth tables and include a few words of explanation.

$$p \lor (p \land q)$$
 and p .

Solution.

Comparing the columns for both p and $p \lor (p \land q)$, we see that they have the same truth values, and therefore they are logically equivalent.

Exercise 6. Determine whether the given pair is logically equivalent. Justify your answer using truth tables and include a few words of explanation.

$$(p \lor q) \lor (p \land r)$$
 and $(p \lor q) \land r$.

Solution.

p	q	r	$p \lor q$	$p \wedge r$	$ (p \lor q) \lor (p \land r) $	$p \lor q$	$(p \lor q) \land r$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T	F
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Since $(p \lor q) \lor (p \land r)$ and $(p \lor q) \land r$ have different columns in the truth table, they are not logically equivalent.

Exercise 7. Determine whether the following statement is a tautology or a contradiction. Justify your answer using truth tables and include a few words of explanation.

$$(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \lor (p \land \neg q)).$$

Solution.

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \land \neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor (p \land \neg q)$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \lor (p \land \neg q))$
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	F	T

The the expression $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \lor (p \land \neg q))$ has T for a truth value in every row, it is a tautology.

Exercise 8. Determine whether the following statement is a tautology or a contradiction. Justify your answer using truth tables and include a few words of explanation.

$$((\neg p \land q) \land (q \land r)) \land \neg q.$$

Solution.

p	q	r	$ \neg p $	$\neg p \land q$	$q \wedge r$	$(\neg p \land q) \land (q \land r)$	$\neg q$	$ ((\neg p \land q) \land (q \land r)) \land \neg q $
\overline{T}	T	T	F	F	T	F	F	F
T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	$\mid F \mid$	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	$\mid F \mid$	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	$\mid T \mid$	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	$\mid T \mid$	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	$\mid T \mid$	F	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	$\mid T \mid$	F	F	F	T	F

Since every entry in the truth table for $((\neg p \land q) \land (q \land r)) \land \neg q$ is F, it is a contradiction. \Box

Exercise 9. Below, a logical equivalence is derived using Theorem 1.1.1 from Epp's book. Supply a reason for each step (which part of the theorem is used).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge q) & \equiv & p \wedge (\neg q \vee q) & by & \underline{(a)} \\ & \equiv & p \wedge (q \vee \neg q) & by & \underline{(b)} \\ & \equiv & p \wedge \mathbf{t} & by & \underline{(c)} \\ & \equiv & p & by & \underline{(d)} \end{array}$$

Therefore, $(p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$.

Solution.

- (a) distributivity laws
- (b) commutativity laws
- (c) negation laws
- (d) identity laws

Exercise 10. Use Theorem 1.1.1 from Epp to verify the logical equivalence. Give a reason for each step (which part of the theorem is used).

$$(p \land (\neg(\neg p \lor q))) \lor (p \land q) \equiv p.$$

Solution.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (p \wedge (\neg (\neg p \vee q))) \vee (p \wedge q) & \equiv & (p \wedge (p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (p \wedge q) & \text{by DeMorgan's Laws} \\ & \equiv & ((p \wedge p) \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge q) & \text{by Associativity of } \wedge \\ & \equiv & (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge q) & \text{by Idempotent Laws} \\ & \equiv & p \wedge (\neg q \vee q) & \text{by Distributive Laws} \\ & \equiv & p \wedge \mathbf{t} & \text{by Negation Laws} \\ & \equiv & p & \text{by Identity Laws} \end{array}$$

Therefore,
$$(p \land (\neg(\neg p \lor q))) \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$$
.